Communication in the Global War on Terror

Military Technology-Communication Conference 15 November 2012, Budapest, NUPS

Preface

"It was very natural, that's why I thought it is real. Why wouldn't I think different?"

Historical background

Three waves can be divided until now: target → weapon → platform

After the CW dramatic decrease in methods and tools

Strategic inflection point reached by the end of '90s

Several trends coincided by 2000

Impact of changes in communication

In regular warfare is continous.

In irregular warfare is flashing.

Younger (insurgents) vs older (counter-insurgents)!

Some important rule

The smaller the physical power of the force, the larger the need for psychological ampliflication.*

Al-Quaida: Is an operative system, not organization for operations, which is/was using an (un)organized system.

Escalation of will and escalation of force.

* Thomas Rid: Small wars and Telecommunication, in: Modern Warfare, Ashgate, 2010,.p.436. Dr. József NÉMETH, PhD, Security and Defense Policy Expert, Senior Lecturer, Signal Department Institute for Military Maintenance

VERSUS

Individuals and communities Anonimity Unity of purpose Open source information Trust Commons based peerproduction Large-state burocracies Officialdom Unity of organization Secrecy Mistrust Command-based hierarchical operations

Closing thoughts

"It was very natural, that's why I thought it is real. Why wouldn't I think different?"

"It was very unnatural, that's why I thought it is unreal. Why wouldn't I think different?"





Thank you very much for your attention!

Questions, remarks?

Used literature: Modern Warfare, Ashgate, 2010